IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

IN RE: Bard IVC Filters Products Liability Litigation,

No. MDL15-2641-PHX-DGC

Debra Tinlin and James Tinlin,

No. CV-16-00263-PHX-DGC

Plaintiffs,

v.

C. R. Bard, Inc., a New Jersey corporation; and Bard Peripheral Vascular, Inc., an Arizona corporation,

Defendants.

PROPOSED PRELIMINARY INSTRUCTION REGARDING REMOTE ATTENDANCE

Pursuant to Section 1(f) of Case Management Order No. 43 and the Court's instructions at the final pretrial conference, the parties hereby provide the below instruction concerning Plaintiffs' remote attendance at trial.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 3rd day of May, 2019.

BEUS GILBERT PLLC

NELSON MULLINS RILEY & SCARBOROUGH, LLP

s/ Mark S. O'Connor Mark S. O'Connor (011029) BEUS GILBERT PLLC 701 N 44th Street Phoenix, AZ 85008

Ramon Rossi Lopez (admitted *pro hac vice*) CA Bar No. 86361 LOPEZ & McHUGH LLP Phoenix, AZ 85016-9225 100 Bayview Circle, Suite 5600 Newport Beach, CA 92660

Co-Lead/Liaison Counsel for Plaintiffs

s/ Richard B. North, Jr. (with permission)
Richard B. North, Jr. (pro hac vice)

Georgia Bar No. 545599

Matthew B. Lerner (pro hac vice)

Georgia Bar No. 446986

Atlantic Station

201 17th Street NW / Suite 1700

Atlanta, GA 30363 PH: (404) 322-6000 FX: (404 322-6050

<u>richard.north@nelsonmullins.com</u> matthew.lerner@nelsonmullins.com

James R. Condo (005867) SNELL & WILMER LLP One Arizona Center 400 E. Van Buren Phoenix, AZ 85004-2204 PH: (602) 382-6000 jcondo@swlaw.com

Attorneys for Defendants C. R. Bard, Inc. and Bard Peripheral Vascular, Inc.

1722678.1

Court's Instruction¹

Mrs. Tinlin will not be present in the courtroom for trial, but instead will participate in portions of the trial by videoconference from her home in Wisconsin. Mrs. Tinlin is not attending trial because her doctors have advised her not to travel due to medical conditions that are not related to issues in this case.² Mr. Tinlin is also in Wisconsin because he is her primary caregiver. You should not draw any inference concerning their claims – whether favorable or unfavorable – as a result of their absence from the courtroom.

1722678.1

¹ This instruction is drawn from the Court's proposed instruction at page 8 of the Preliminary Jury Instructions distributed at the final pretrial conference on April 29, 2019.

² Plaintiffs maintain, for the reasons stated on pages 8 and 9 of the Parties' Joint Submission of Proposed Jury Instructions, that this sentence should end with the phrase "medical conditions," because the remainder of the sentence constitutes a comment on the evidence before it has been presented.